

1 FEBRUARY 1999



Logistics

**ICBM MAINTENANCE - TECHNICAL
ENGINEERING (WING)(FA)**

NOTICE: This publication is available digitally at: <http://midway.spacecom.af.mil/pubs>. If you lack access, contact your Publishing Distribution Office (PDO).

OPR: LGM (Col Luis E. Gornaz)
Supersedes AFSPCSIG 90-2120, 23 Dec 96.

Certified by: LG (Col John D. Ladieu)
Pages: 2
Distribution: F

This checklist reflects Command requirements for managers to prepare for and conduct internal reviews in the functional area of technical engineering. It applies to the 576 FLTS and all ICBM units with a technical engineering function.

SUMMARY OF REVISIONS

This checklist has been revised to convert AFSPCSIG 90-2120 into correct format, realign critical items and update references as a result of AFSPCI 21-102 rescission and AFSPCI 21-11401 implementation.

1. References have been provided for each critical item. Critical items have been kept to a minimum and are related to public law, safety, security, fiscal responsibility and/or mission accomplishment. CCC items are also critical to the mission. While compliance with non-critical items is not rated, these items help gauge the effectiveness/efficiency of the function.
2. The checklist establishes a baseline to be used by the Command IG during applicable assessments. Use the checklist at **Attachment 1** as a guide only. Add to or modify each area as needed, to ensure an effective and thorough review of a unit's Technical Engineering function.

JOHN D. LADIEU, Col, USAF
Director of Logistics

Attachment 1

ICBM MAINTENANCE - TECHNICAL ENGINEERING (WING) (FA)

Table A1.1. Checklist.

SECTION 1:			
<i>Note:</i> All references are from AFSPCI 21-11401 unless otherwise specified.			
1.1. CRITICAL ITEMS:	YES	NO	N/A
1.1.1. Does the section advise HQ AFSPC/LGML and 20 AF/LGO of abnormal faults that have a weapon system impact? (Para 2.3.5.1)			
1.1.2. Does Technical Engineering (TE) direct unit-level technical matters relating to the MGS or MGCS and coordinate actions with Ogden ALC and Boeing Guidance Repair Center (BGRC) as necessary? (Para 2.3.5.3)			
1.1.3. Does the capability exist to perform maintenance at the direction of the LG/CC or 576 FLTS/CC? (Para 2.3.5.4)			
1.1.4. Are technical engineers qualified on launch facility penetration/backout and emergency operations? (Para 2.3.5.11)			
1.2. NONCRITICAL ITEMS:	YES	NO	N/A
1.2.1. Does TE review data, conduct studies, and develop changes required to improve the weapon system? (para 2.3.5.2)			
1.2.2. Are study findings and configuration changes coordinated with applicable agencies? (Para 2.3.5.2)			
1.2.3. Is a production inspector capability maintained? (Para 2.3.5.8)			
1.2.4. Does TE act as central point of contact for maintenance activities involving REACT/SELECT? (Para 2.3.5.5)			
1.2.5. Is TE the unit focal point for the product improvement working group (PIWG) and Rivet FIX initiatives? (Para 2.3.5.6)			
1.2.6. Is an officer/SNCO from TE the unit focal point and chairman for the Reliability and Maintainability (R & M) panel? (Paras 1.9.1 and 2.3.5.7)			
1.2.7. Are R & M panel meeting minutes, PIWG inputs and Rivet FIX inputs forwarded to applicable agencies? (Para 1.9.2 and 1.9.3)			
1.2.8. Is a quarterly activity summary published and distributed to appropriate agencies? (Para 2.3.5.9)			
1.2.9. Have technical engineers completed an AETC specialized TE course? (Para 2.3.5.10)			